

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/369,776	08/06/1999	YOSHIKO DOI	FUJI-16.366	7243	
75	90 12/20/2002				
HELFGOTT & KARAS P C EMPIRE STATE BUILDING 60 TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, THU HA T		
NEW YORK, N	IY 10118		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	10	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/369,776	DOI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155				
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED on December 09, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · - ·		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' amerianent changes the scope of the claims by adding imitations such as "said view-configuration information providing a basis for a plurality of service-specific views of the network that correspond to the respective services; ... selected one or more of the service-specific views by presenting each...", ... service-specific...; ... by selecting one of the service-specific views that corresponds to said client's own services." See claims 1, and 11. Therefore, further consideration and/or search is required.

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100